# Solving problems by searching

In which we see how an agent can find a sequence of actions that achieves its goals when no single action will do

Piotr Fulmański

Instytut Nauk Ekonomicznych i Informatyki, Państwowa Wyższa Szkoła Zawodowa w Płocku, Polska

October 23, 2014

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

# Spis treści



### Introduction to lecture

### Problem-solving agents

- Well-defined problems and soultions
- Formulating problems

### Example problems

- Toy problems
- Real-world problems
- Searching for solution
- Uninformed search strategies
  - Breadth-first search
  - Uniform-cost search
  - Depth-first search
  - Depth-limited search
  - Iterative deepening depth-first search
  - Bidirectional search
  - Comparing uninformed search strategies
- Informed (heuristic) search strategies
  - Greedy best-first search
  - A\* search

### Introduction to lecture

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

#### **Basic definitions**

Our discussion of problem solving begins with precise definitions of **problems** and their **solutions**. We give several examples to illustrate these definitions.

## Introduction to lecture

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

We then describe several general-purpose search algorithms that can be used to solve these problems.

### Uninformed vs. informed search algorithms

- We will see several **uninformed** search algorithms algorithms that are given no information about the problem other than its definition. Although some of these algorithms can solve any solvable problem, none of them can do so efficiently.
- **Informed** search algorithms, on the other hand, can do quite well given some guidance on where to look for solutions.

### Preliminaries

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

### **Goal formulation**

**Goal formulation**, based on the current situation and the agent's performance measure, is the first step in problem solving. We will consider a goal to be a set of world states – exactly those states in which the goal is satisfied. **The agent's task is to find out how to act, now and in the future, so that it reaches a goal state.** Before it can do this, it needs to decide what sort of actions and states it should consider.

### Preliminaries

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

#### **Problem formulation**

**Problem formulation** is the process of deciding what **actions** and **states** to consider, given a goal.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日 ・

#### **Problem formulation**

- For now, we assume that the environment is **observable**, so the agent always knows the current state.
- We also assume the environment is **discrete**, so at any given state there are only finitely many actions to choose from.
- We will assume the **environment is known**, so the agent knows which states are reached by each action.
- Finally, we assume that the **environment is deterministic**, so each action has exactly one outcome.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

#### **Problem formulation**

- For now, we assume that the environment is **observable**, so the agent always knows the current state.
- We also assume the environment is **discrete**, so at any given state there are only finitely many actions to choose from.
- We will assume the **environment is known**, so the agent knows which states are reached by each action.
- Finally, we assume that the **environment is deterministic**, so each action has exactly one outcome.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - のへで

#### **Problem formulation**

- For now, we assume that the environment is **observable**, so the agent always knows the current state.
- We also assume the environment is **discrete**, so at any given state there are only finitely many actions to choose from.
- We will assume the **environment is known**, so the agent knows which states are reached by each action.
- Finally, we assume that the **environment is deterministic**, so each action has exactly one outcome.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

#### **Problem formulation**

- For now, we assume that the environment is **observable**, so the agent always knows the current state.
- We also assume the environment is **discrete**, so at any given state there are only finitely many actions to choose from.
- We will assume the **environment is known**, so the agent knows which states are reached by each action.
- Finally, we assume that the **environment is deterministic**, so each action has exactly one outcome.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

#### **Problem formulation**

- For now, we assume that the environment is **observable**, so the agent always knows the current state.
- We also assume the environment is **discrete**, so at any given state there are only finitely many actions to choose from.
- We will assume the **environment is known**, so the agent knows which states are reached by each action.
- Finally, we assume that the **environment is deterministic**, so each action has exactly one outcome.

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

#### **Problem formulation**

- For now, we assume that the environment is **observable**, so the agent always knows the current state.
- We also assume the environment is **discrete**, so at any given state there are only finitely many actions to choose from.
- We will assume the **environment is known**, so the agent knows which states are reached by each action.
- Finally, we assume that the **environment is deterministic**, so each action has exactly one outcome.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

#### formulate-state-execute

- The process of looking for a sequence of actions that reaches the goal is called **search**.
- A search algorithm takes a problem as input and returns a **solution** in the form of action sequence.
- Once a solution is found, the actions it recommends can be carried out. This is called the **execution** phase.

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のへで

#### formulate-state-execute

- The process of looking for a sequence of actions that reaches the goal is called **search**.
- A search algorithm takes a problem as input and returns a **solution** in the form of action sequence.
- Once a solution is found, the actions it recommends can be carried out. This is called the **execution** phase.

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のへで

#### formulate-state-execute

- The process of looking for a sequence of actions that reaches the goal is called **search**.
- A search algorithm takes a problem as input and returns a **solution** in the form of action sequence.
- Once a solution is found, the actions it recommends can be carried out. This is called the **execution** phase.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

#### formulate-state-execute

- The process of looking for a sequence of actions that reaches the goal is called **search**.
- A search algorithm takes a problem as input and returns a **solution** in the form of action sequence.
- Once a solution is found, the actions it recommends can be carried out. This is called the **execution** phase.

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のへで

#### formulate-state-execute

- The process of looking for a sequence of actions that reaches the goal is called **search**.
- A search algorithm takes a problem as input and returns a **solution** in the form of action sequence.
- Once a solution is found, the actions it recommends can be carried out. This is called the **execution** phase.

# Goal after goal

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

#### Goal after goal

After formulating a goal and a problem to solve, the agent calls a search procedure to solve it. It then uses the solution to guide its actions, doing whatever the solution recommends as the next thing to do – typically, the first action of the sequence – and then removing that step from the sequence. Once the solution has been executed, the agent will formulate a new goal.

#### Simple problem solving agent (SPSA)

```
problem - a problem formulation, initially empty
state - some description of the current world state
function SPSA(state){
local var:
  goal - a goal, initially empty
  seq - an action sequence, initially empty
  do{
    action := SPSA AUX(state)
    if (action is not null)
      state := EXECUTE_ACTION(action)
      // returns percept instead of simply state
      // in case of result which is nondeterministic
  }while(action in not NULL)
}
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Simple problem solving agent – an auxiliary function (SPSA AUX)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

```
function SPSA_AUX(state) return an action {
  if (seq is empty) then {
    goal := FORMULATE_GOAL(state)
    problem := FORMULATE_PROBLEM(state,goal)
    seq := SEARCH(problem)
    if (seq = null) then
      return a null action
  }
  action := FIRST(seq)
  seq := REST(seq)
  return action
}
```

## open-loop

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

#### open-loop

Notice that while **the agent** is executing the solution sequence it **ignores its percepts when choosing an action** because it knows in advance what they will be. An agent that carries out its plans with its eyes closed, so to speak, must be quite certain of what is going on. Control theorists call this an **open-loop** system, because ignoring the percepts breaks the loop between agent and environment.

### Problem

### A problem can be defined formally by five components

- The initial state that the agent starts in.
- A description of the possible **actions** available to the agent. Given a particular state *s* function ACTION(*s*) returns the set of actions that can be executed in *s*. We say that each of these actions is **applicable** in *s*.
- A description of what each action does; the formal name for this is the **transition model**, specified by a function RESULT(*s*, *a*) that returns the state that results from doing action *a* in state *s*. We also use the term **successor** to refer to any state reachable from a given state by a single action.

### Problem

### A problem can be defined formally by five components

- The **initial state** that the agent starts in.
- A description of the possible **actions** available to the agent. Given a particular state *s* function ACTION(*s*) returns the set of actions that can be executed in *s*. We say that each of these actions is **applicable** in *s*.
- A description of what each action does; the formal name for this is the **transition model**, specified by a function RESULT(*s*, *a*) that returns the state that results from doing action *a* in state *s*. We also use the term **successor** to refer to any state reachable from a given state by a single action.

### Problem

A problem can be defined formally by five components

- The **initial state** that the agent starts in.
- A description of the possible **actions** available to the agent. Given a particular state *s* function ACTION(*s*) returns the set of actions that can be executed in *s*. We say that each of these actions is **applicable** in *s*.
- A description of what each action does; the formal name for this is the **transition model**, specified by a function RESULT(*s*, *a*) that returns the state that results from doing action *a* in state *s*. We also use the term **successor** to refer to any state reachable from a given state by a single action.

### Problem

A problem can be defined formally by five components

- The **initial state** that the agent starts in.
- A description of the possible **actions** available to the agent. Given a particular state *s* function ACTION(*s*) returns the set of actions that can be executed in *s*. We say that each of these actions is **applicable** in *s*.
- A description of what each action does; the formal name for this is the **transition model**, specified by a function RESULT(*s*, *a*) that returns the state that results from doing action *a* in state *s*. We also use the term **successor** to refer to any state reachable from a given state by a single action.

### Problem

A problem can be defined formally by five components

- The **initial state** that the agent starts in.
- A description of the possible **actions** available to the agent. Given a particular state *s* function ACTION(*s*) returns the set of actions that can be executed in *s*. We say that each of these actions is **applicable** in *s*.
- A description of what each action does; the formal name for this is the **transition model**, specified by a function RESULT(*s*, *a*) that returns the state that results from doing action *a* in state *s*. We also use the term **successor** to refer to any state reachable from a given state by a single action.

### Problem

- The **goal test**, which determines whether a given state is a goal state. Sometimes there is an explicit set of possible goal states, and the test simply checks whether the given state is one of them. Sometimes the goal is specified by an abstract property rather than an explicitly enumerated set of states. For example, in chess, the goal is to reach a state called "checkmate", where the opponent's king is under attack and can't escape.
- A **path cost** function that assigns a numeric cost to each path. The problem-solving agent chooses a cost function that reflects its own performance measure.

### Problem

- The **goal test**, which determines whether a given state is a goal state. Sometimes there is an explicit set of possible goal states, and the test simply checks whether the given state is one of them. Sometimes the goal is specified by an abstract property rather than an explicitly enumerated set of states. For example, in chess, the goal is to reach a state called "checkmate", where the opponent's king is under attack and can't escape.
- A **path cost** function that assigns a numeric cost to each path. The problem-solving agent chooses a cost function that reflects its own performance measure.

#### Problem

- The **goal test**, which determines whether a given state is a goal state. Sometimes there is an explicit set of possible goal states, and the test simply checks whether the given state is one of them. Sometimes the goal is specified by an abstract property rather than an explicitly enumerated set of states. For example, in chess, the goal is to reach a state called "checkmate", where the opponent's king is under attack and can't escape.
- A **path cost** function that assigns a numeric cost to each path. The problem-solving agent chooses a cost function that reflects its own performance measure.

#### Problem

- The **goal test**, which determines whether a given state is a goal state. Sometimes there is an explicit set of possible goal states, and the test simply checks whether the given state is one of them. Sometimes the goal is specified by an abstract property rather than an explicitly enumerated set of states. For example, in chess, the goal is to reach a state called "checkmate", where the opponent's king is under attack and can't escape.
- A **path cost** function that assigns a numeric cost to each path. The problem-solving agent chooses a cost function that reflects its own performance measure.

### Abstraction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

#### Abstraction

Every time we propose a formulation of the problem, even it seems reasonable, it is still a **model** – an abstract mathematical description – and not the real thing. Among others we have to leave out of our state description all these considerations which are irrelevent to the problem. The process of removing detail from a representation is called **abstraction**. In addition to abstracting the state description, we must abstract the actions themselves.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

#### Validity and usefulness

- The abstraction is **valid** if we can expand any abstract solution into a solution in the more detailed world.
- The abstraction is **useful** if carrying out each of the action in the solution is easier than the oryginal problem.

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

#### Validity and usefulness

- The abstraction is **valid** if we can expand any abstract solution into a solution in the more detailed world.
- The abstraction is **useful** if carrying out each of the action in the solution is easier than the oryginal problem.

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

#### Validity and usefulness

- The abstraction is **valid** if we can expand any abstract solution into a solution in the more detailed world.
- The abstraction is **useful** if carrying out each of the action in the solution is easier than the oryginal problem.

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

#### Validity and usefulness

- The abstraction is **valid** if we can expand any abstract solution into a solution in the more detailed world.
- The abstraction is **useful** if carrying out each of the action in the solution is easier than the oryginal problem.

# Toy problems

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Toy problems

- vacuum cleaner
- 8-puzzle
- 8-queens problem

state, initial state, actions, transition model, goal test, path cost
# Toy problems

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Toy problems

- vacuum cleaner
- 8-puzzle
- 8-queens problem

# Toy problems

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … 釣�?

## Toy problems

- vacuum cleaner
- 8-puzzle
- 8-queens problem

# Toy problems

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … 釣�?

### Toy problems

- vacuum cleaner
- 8-puzzle
- 8-queens problem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

### **Real-world problems**

- route-finding problem
- traveling salesperson problem
- automatic assembly sequencing

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

### Real-world problems

- route-finding problem
- traveling salesperson problem
- automatic assembly sequencing

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

### Real-world problems

- route-finding problem
- traveling salesperson problem
- automatic assembly sequencing

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

### Real-world problems

- route-finding problem
- traveling salesperson problem
- automatic assembly sequencing

## Search tree

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日 ・

#### Search tree

Having formulated some problems, we now need to solve them. A solution is an action sequence, so search algorithms work by considering various possible action sequences. The possible action sequences starting at the initial state from a **search tree** with the initial state at the root; the branches are actions and the **nodes** correspond to states in the state space of the problem.

## Search tree

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

#### Search tree

The root node of he tree corresponds to the initial state. Then we need to consider taking various actions. We do this by **expanding** the current state; that is applaying each legal action to the current state, thereby **generating** a new set of states. We add new **branches** from the **parent node** leading to new **child nodes**. Node with no children in the tree is a **leaf node**. The set of all leaf nodes available for expansion at any given point is called the **frontier**.

After that we must choose which of these new possibilities to consider further.

## The essence of search

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### The essence of search

This is the essence of search – following up one option now and putting the others aside for leater, in case the first choice does not lead to a solution.

# General tree-search algorithm

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

#### General tree-search algorithm

Now we present the general tree-search algorithm. Search algorithms all share this basic structure; they vary primarily according to how they choose which state to expand next – the so-called **search strategy**.

## Remark

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … 釣�?

### Remark

Tree search is not exactly about searching already existing tree but about searching method which forms tree.

### TREE-SEARCH

```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem) return a solution, or failure
ſ
  initialize the frontier using the initial state of problem
  loop
  Ł
    if (the frontier is empty) than return failure
    choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier
    if (the node contains a gola state) then
      return the corresponding solution
    expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes
      to the frontier
  }
}
```

## **Redundant paths**

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

#### **Redundant paths**

Redundant paths exists whenever there is more than one way to get from one state to another. Sometimes redundant paths leads to infinite loop. The way to avoid exploring redundant paths is to remember where one has been. To do this we augment the TREE-SEARCH algorithm with a data structure called the **explored set**, which remembers every expanded node. Newly generated nodes that match previously generated nodes – ones in the explored set or the frontier – can be discarded instead of being added to the frontier. The new algorithm is called GRAPH-SEARCH.

### **GRAPH-SEARCH**

```
function GRAPH-SEARCH(problem) return a solution, or failure
ſ
  initialize the frontier using the initial state of problem
  initialize the explored set to be empty
  loop
  Ł
    if (the frontier is empty) than return failure
    choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier
    if (the node contains a gola state) then
      return the corresponding solution
    add the node to the explored set
    expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes
      to the frontier only if not in the frontier
      or explored set
  }
}
```

# Infrastructure for searching algorithms

#### Infrastructure for searching algorithms

Search algorithms required a data structure to keep track of the search tree that is being constructed. For each node n of the tree, we have a structure that contains four components:

- n.STATE: the state in the state space to which the node corresponds;
- n.PARENT: the node in the search tree that generated this node;
- n.ACTION: the action that was applied to the parent to generate the node;
- n.PATH-COST: the cost, traditionally denoted by g(n), of the path from the initial state to the node, as indicated by the parent pointers.

We also use CHILD-NODE function which takes as arguments a parent node and an action and returns the resulting child node.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

#### Measuring problem solving performance

- **completeness**: is the algorithm guaranteed to find a solution when there is one?
- **optimality**: does the strategy find the optimal solution (**optimal solution** is such a solution which has the lowest path cost among all solutions)?
- time complexity: how long does it take to find a soltion?
- **space complexity**: how much memory is needed to perform the search?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

#### Measuring problem solving performance

- **completeness**: is the algorithm guaranteed to find a solution when there is one?
- **optimality**: does the strategy find the optimal solution (**optimal solution** is such a solution which has the lowest path cost among all solutions)?
- time complexity: how long does it take to find a soltion?
- **space complexity**: how much memory is needed to perform the search?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

#### Measuring problem solving performance

- **completeness**: is the algorithm guaranteed to find a solution when there is one?
- **optimality**: does the strategy find the optimal solution (**optimal solution** is such a solution which has the lowest path cost among all solutions)?
- time complexity: how long does it take to find a soltion?
- **space complexity**: how much memory is needed to perform the search?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

#### Measuring problem solving performance

- **completeness**: is the algorithm guaranteed to find a solution when there is one?
- **optimality**: does the strategy find the optimal solution (**optimal solution** is such a solution which has the lowest path cost among all solutions)?
- time complexity: how long does it take to find a soltion?
- **space complexity**: how much memory is needed to perform the search?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

#### Measuring problem solving performance

- **completeness**: is the algorithm guaranteed to find a solution when there is one?
- **optimality**: does the strategy find the optimal solution (**optimal solution** is such a solution which has the lowest path cost among all solutions)?
- time complexity: how long does it take to find a soltion?
- **space complexity**: how much memory is needed to perform the search?

# Uninformed and informed search strategies

#### Uninformed and informed search strategies

Now we cover several strategies that comes under the heading of uninformed search (also called blind search). The term means that the strategies have no additional information about states beyond that provided in the problem definition. All they can do is generate successors and distinguish a goal state from a non-goal state. All search strategies are distinguished by the order in which nodes are expanded. In contrary, strategies that know whether one non-goal state is "more promising" than other are called **informed search** or **heuristic search** strategies.

(日) ( 伊) ( 日) ( 日) ( 日) ( 0) ( 0)

## Breadth-first search

#### Breadth-first search

Breadth-first search is a simple strategy in which the root node is expanded first, than all the successors of the root node are expanded next, than their successors, and so on. In general, all the nodes are expanded at a given depth in the search tree before any nodes at the next level are expanded.

Breadth-first search is an instance of the general graph-search algorithm in which the shallowest unexpanded node is chosen for expansion. This is achieved very simply by using FIFO queue for the frontier. Thus, new nodes (which are always deeper than their parents) go to the back of the queue, and old nodes, which are shallower than the new nodes, get expanded first. There is one slight tweak on the general graph-search algorithm, which is that the goal test is applied to each node when it is generated rather than it is selected for expansion.

### BREADTH-FIRST-SEARCH (on a graph)

```
function BREADTH-FIRST-SEARCH(problem) return a solution, or failure
 node.STATE := problem.INITIAL_STATE
 node.PATH_COST := 0
 if (problem.GOAL TEST(node.STATE)=TRUE) than
   return SOLUTION(node)
 frontier := a FIFO queue with node as the only element
  explored := an empty set
 loop{
    if (the frontier is empty) than return failure
    choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier
    // chooses the shallowest node in frontier
    explored.ADD(node.STATE)
   for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE){
      child := CHILD NODE(problem, node, action)
      if (child.STATE is not in explored or frontier) then
      Ł
        if (problem.GOAL TEST(child.STATE)) then
          return SOLUTION(child)
        frontier.ADD(child)
     }
   }
 }
7
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 注目 のへ()~

## Uniform-cost search

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

#### Uniform-cost search

When all step cost are equal, breadth-first search is optimal because it always expands the shallowest unexpanded node. By a simple extension, we can find an algorithm that is optimal with any step-cost function. Instead of expanding the shallowest node, **uniform-cost search** expands the node n with the lowest path cost g(n). This is done by storing the frontier as a priority queue ordered by g.

#### UNIFORM-COST-SEARCH (on a graph)

```
function UNIFORM-COST-SEARCH(problem) return a solution, or failure
 node.STATE := problem.INITIAL_STATE
 node.PATH_COST := 0
  if (problem.GOAL TEST(node.STATE)=TRUE) than
   return SOLUTION(node)
 frontier := a priority queue ordered by PATH-COST,
              with node as the only element
  explored := an empty set
  loop{
    if (the frontier is empty) than return failure
   node := POP(frontier)
    /* chooses the lowest-cost node in frontier
       and remove it from the frontier */
    if (problem.GOAL_TEST(node.STATE)) then
      return SOLUTION(node)
    explored.ADD(node.STATE)
   for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE){
      child := CHILD_NODE(problem, node, action)
      if (child.STATE is not in explored or frontier) then
      ſ
        frontier.ADD(child)
      ł
      else if child.STATE is in frontier with higher PATH-COST then
      Ł
        replace the frontier node with child
      }
   }
 }
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

## Uniform-cost search

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

#### Uniform-cost search – differences

In addition on the ordering of the queue by path cost there are two other significant differences from breadth-first search. The first is that the goal test is applied to a node when it is selected for expansion (as in the generic graph-search algorithm) rather than when it is first generated. The second difference is that a test is added in case a better path is found to a node currently on the frontier.

## Depth-first search

#### Depth-first search

Depth-first search always expands the deepest node in the current frontier of the search tree. The search proceeds immediately to the deepest level of the search tree, where the nodes have no successors. As those nodes are expanded, they are dropped from the frontier, so then the search "backs up" to the next deepest node that still has unexplored successor. The depth-first search algorithm is an instance of the graph-search algorithm which uses LIFO queue. A LIFO queue means that the most recently generated node is chosen for expansion. This must be the deepest unexpanded node because it is one deeper than its parent – which, in turn, was the deepest unexpanded node when it was selected.

## **Depth-limited search**

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

### **Depth-limited search**

The embarrassing failure of depth-first search in infinite state spaces can be alleviated by supplying depth-first search with a predetermined dept limit *I*. That is, nodes at depth *I* are treated as if they have no successors. This approach is called **depth-limited search**.

### Recursive DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH

```
function DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem) return a solution, or failure/cutoff
return Recursuve-DLS(MAKE_NODE(problem.INITIAL-STATE),problem,limit)
```

```
function Recursive-DLS(node, problem, limit) return a solution, or failure/cutoff
 if (problem.GOAL_TEST(node.STATE)=TRUE) than
   return SOLUTION(node)
  else if limit=0 then
   return cutoff
  else
    cutoff occurred := FALSE
   for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE)
    Ł
      child := CHILD NODE(problem, node, action)
      result := Recursive-DLS(child,problem,limit-1)
      if (result = cutoff)
        then cutoff_occurred := TRUE
      else if (result != failure) then
        return result
    }
    if (cutoff occurred = TRUE) then
      return cutoff
    else
     return failure
}
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

# Iterative deepening depth-first search

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

#### Iterative deepening depth-first search

Iterative deepening depth-first search (or iterative deepening search) is a general strategy, often use in combination with depth-first tree search, that finds the best depth limit. It does this by gradually increasing the limit – first 0, then 1, then 2, and so on – until a goal is found. The iterative deepening combines the benefits of depth-first and breadth-first search.

### ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH

```
function ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH(problem) return a solution, or failure
{
  for depth := 0 to infinity do
   {
    result := DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem,depth)
    if (result != cutoff) then return result
  }
}
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

# **Bidirectional search**

#### **Bidirectional search**

The idea behind bidirectional search is to run two simultaneous searches – one forward from the initial state and the other backward from the goal – hoping that the two searches meet in the middle.

Thers is one very important problem. Consider the question of what we mean by "the goal" in searching "backward from the goal". For the 8-puzzle there is just one goal so the backward search is very much like the forward search. If there are several explicitly listed goal states than we can construct a new dummy goal state whose immediate predecessors are all the actual goal states. But if the goal is an abstract description, such as the goal that "no queen attacks another queen" in the *n*-queens problem, than bidirectional search is difficult to use.

# Comparing uninformed search strategies

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

### Comparing uninformed search strategies

	Breadth- First	Uniform- Cost	Depth- First	Depth- Limited	lterative- Deepening	Bidirectional
Complete	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Time	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{1 + [\mathbf{C}^* / \epsilon]})$	O(b <sup>m</sup> )	$O(b^{I})$	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{d/2})$
Space	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{1+[\mathbf{C}^*/\epsilon]})$	O(bm)	O(bl)	O(bd)	$O(b^{d/2})$
Optimal	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes

# Informed (heuristic) search strategies

#### Informed (heuristic) search strategies

Now we show how an informed search strategy – one that uses problem-specific knowledge beyond the definition of the problem itself – can find solution more efficiently than can an uninformed strategy. A general approach we consider is called **best-first search**. Best-first search is an instance of the general TREE-SEARCH or GRAPH-SEARCH algorithm in which a node is selected for expansion based on an **evaluation function**, f(n). The evaluation function is construed as a cost estimate, so the node with the lowest evaluation is expanded first. The implementation of the best-first graph search is identical to that for uniform-cost search, except for the use of f instead of g to order the priority queue.

# Heuristic function

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

### Heuristic function

The choice of f determines the search strategy. Most best-first algorithms include as a component of f a **heuristic function**, denoted h(n); h(n) = estimated cost of the cheapest path from the state at node n to a goal state.

Heuristic (from the Greek: "find" or "discover") is an adjective for experience-based techniques that help in problem solving, learning and discovery. A heuristic method is used to rapidly come to a solution that is hoped to be close to the best possible answer, or "optimal solution".
## Greedy best-first search

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

## Greedy best-first search

Greedy best-first search tries to expand the node that is closest to the goal, on the grounds that this is likely to lead to a solution quickly. At each step algorithm tries to get as close to the goal as it can. Thus, it evaluates nodes by using just the heuristic function; that is, f(n) = h(n).

## A\* search: minimizing the total estimated solution cost

## **A\***

The most widely known form of best-first search is called **A\* search**. It evaluates nodes y combining g(n), the cost to rach the node, and h(n), he cost to get from the node to the goal:

f(n) = g(n) + h(n).

Since g(n) gives the path cost from the start node to node n, and h(n) is the estimated cost of the cheapest path from n to the goal, we have f(n) = estimated cost of cheapest solution through n. Thus if we are trying to find the cheapest solution, a reasonable thing to try first is the node with the lowest value of g(n) + h(n). It turns out that this strategy is more than just reasonable: provided that the heuristic function h(n) satisfies certain conditions, A\* search is both complete and optimal. The algorith ise identical to uniform-cost-search except that A\* uses g + h instead of g.